

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	1,	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/111,2	96 08/23/9	93 HOOD	L	37231.P004
,				XAMINER
		33M1/0505	HARRIS,S	
	SOKOLOFF, T	CAYLOR & ZAFMAN	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7TH FLOO				9
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 9002	25	3309	
			DATE MAILED:	05/05/95
This is a communicati COMMISSIONER OF	on from the examiner in PATENTS AND TRAD	charge of your application. EMARKS	•	
This application h	as been examined	Responsive to communication filed on	2-27-95	This action is made final
		his action is set to expire mont use will cause the application to become abo	h(s),days from undoned. 35 U.S.C. 133	the date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLOW	VING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
3. Notice of A	eferences Cited by Exa rt Cited by Applicant, P on How to Effect Draw		Notice of Draftsman's Patent A	nt Drawing Review, PTO-948.
Part II SUMMARY	OF ACTION			
1. Claims	/-	· <i>50</i>		are pending in the application.
	bove, claims/	-32 and 38-5	are w	ithdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims				
3. Claims				are allowed.
	33-	<i>37</i>		are rejected.
5. Claims				are objected to.
7. This application	n has been filed with In	formal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which	are acceptable for examina	ation purposes.
8. Formal drawin	gs are required in respo	onse to this Office action.		•
9. The corrected are accept	or substitute drawings I able;	nave been received on (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's P	Under 37 C.F.	.R. 1.84 these drawings .
10. The proposed examiner;	additional or substitute disapproved by the exa	sheet(s) of drawings, filed on miner (see explanation).	has (have) been	approved by the
11. The proposed	drawing correction, filed	. has been □ar	pproved; disapproved (se	ee explanation).
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on				
3. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
14. Other		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
			-	

Serial Number: 08/111296

Art Unit: 3309

- , - A - P

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed
publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or
on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the
date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Schachar. Schachar discloses the method for reshaping the cornea as claimed including the steps of placing an electrode in contact with the cornea, sending a current therethrough, repeating such steps, and arranging the denatured areas in a circular pattern (see col.3 lines 49-57).

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed 11-25-94, as Paper No. 6 fails to comply with 37 CFR § 1.97(c) because it lacks either a certification as specified in 37 CFR § 1.97(e), or the fee set forth in 37 CFR § 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits.

Also, the Applicants are respectfully reminded of their duty to disclose all prior art pertinent to the claimed invention, and Serial Number: 08/111296

Art Unit: 3309

it is noted that patent no. 4907585 to Schachar was not disclosed, though it was in copending application serial number 08/171225, directed toward similar subject matter.

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 33-37 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Serial Number: 08/111296

Art Unit: 3309

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonya Harris-Oguqua whose telephone number is (703) 308-2216.

> ephen C. Pellogrino Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 330

S. Ogugua April 28, 1995